U.S. Patent Application No.10/699,915 Response to Restriction Requirement dated February 3, 2005 Office Action Dated January 19, 2005

should be examined at this time since there is no serious burden on the part of the Examiner to include Group II. In fact, claims 18 and 19 are dependent on claims 1 and 9, respectively. Therefore, it would be logical to examine the subject matter of claims 18 and 19 with the subject matter of claims 1-17. Under M.P.E.P §803, if there is no serious burden in the examination of all of the claims even if the claims are directed to separate inventions, the Examiner must examine all claims at this time. Section 803 applies to the current situation because by searching for a process for production of a glass-fiber reinforced resin plate, the Examiner will be searching for glass-fiber reinforced resin plate made in accordance with this method, which is the subject matter of Group II at the same time.

No fee is due for this submission. However, if there are any fees including extension fees due in connection with this response, please charge the fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1980.

Pate February 3, 2005

Respectfully submitted. Rep. No. 45, 982

For H. T. Than

H.T. Than, Esq. (Reg. No. 38,632)

The H.T. Than Law Group Waterfront Center 1010 Wisconsin Avenue, NW, Suite 560 Washington, DC 20007 (202) 363-2620